## Optimised to Fail: Card Readers for Online Banking



Saar Drimer <u>Steven J. Murdoch</u> Ross Anderson www.cl.cam.ac.uk/users/{sd410,sjm217,rja14}



**Computer Laboratory** 



# Online banking fraud is a significant and growing problem in the UK

- 174% increase in users between 2001 and 2007
- 185% increase in fraud in 2007–2008 (£ 21.4m in first 6 months of 2008)
- Simple fraud techniques dominate in the UK:
  - Phishing emails
  - Keyboard loggers
- Still work, and still used by fraudsters, due to the comparatively poor security

#### Dear Customer

Account Protection Update, To ensure the scam and other account threats, it's stree update account protection click on "Protection" to continue the proc

#### Protection .

Online Internet Banking Security Center Halifax Internet Banking.

Thanks for your co-operation.

Fraud Prevention Unit Legal Advisor Halifax PLC.

- On-screen keyboards
- Picture passwords
- Device fingerprinting
- One-time-passwords/iTAN

All of these defences have been broken by fraudsters

- Malware
- Man in the Middle (MITM)
- Combination: Man in the Browser

#### Memorable Name



- On-screen keyboards
- Picture passwords
- Device fingerprinting
- One-time-passwords/iTAN

All of these defences have been broken by fraudsters

- Malware
- Man in the Middle (MITM)
- Combination: Man in the
  Browser



- On-screen keyboards
- Picture passwords
- Device fingerprinting
- One-time-passwords/iTAN

All of these defences have been broken by fraudsters

- Malware
- Man in the Middle (MITM)
- Combination: Man in the Browser

#### **HTTP Header Information**

Which headers does your browser send? When communicating with the webs contain information about which type of images are supported, which kind of d cookies etc.

HTTP Header	Value
HTTP_ACCEPT	text/html,application/xhtml+xml,applicatio
HTTP_ACCEPT_CHARSET	ISO-8859-1,utf-8;q=0.7,*;q=0.7
HTTP_ACCEPT_ENCODING	gzip,deflate
HTTP_ACCEPT_LANGUAGE	en-us,en;q=0.5
HTTP_CONNECTION	keep-alive
HTTP_HOST	browserspy.dk
HTTP_KEEP_ALIVE	300
HTTP_REFERER	http://browserspy.dk/geolocation.php
HTTP_USER_AGENT	Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; U; Intel Mac OS )
QUERY_STRING	
REMOTE_ADDR	128.232.9.64
REMOTE_PORT	50625
REQUEST_METHOD	GET
REQUEST_URI	/headers.php
REQUEST_TIME	1261872241

- On-screen keyboards
- Picture passwords
- Device fingerprinting
- One-time-passwords/iTAN

All of these defences have been broken by fraudsters

- Malware
- Man in the Middle (MITM)
- Combination: Man in the
  Browser

#### TAN-Nummer

		_				
Nr.	IAN		Nr.	TAN		NP.
1	687716		31	842387		61
2	143690		32	559269		62
3	908192		33	900420		63
4	150266		34	950912		64
5	637410	•	35	533098		65
6	632961		30	734080		66
7	028567		37	872269		67
8	179016		38	301940		68
9	888375		39	038797		69
10	606687		40	780513		70
11	051256		41	807036		71
12	647111		42	085357		72
13	529030		43	508000		73
14	844281		44	781571		74
15	714399		45	484862		75
	Nr. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Nr. TAN 1 687716 2 143690 3 908192 4 150266 5 637410 6 632961 7 028567 8 179016 9 888375 10 606687 11 051256 12 647111 13 529030 14 844281 15 714399	Nr. TAN 1 687716 2 143690 3 908192 4 150266 5 637410 6 632961 7 028567 8 179016 9 888375 10 606687 11 051256 12 647111 13 529030 14 844281 15 714399	Nr.         TAN         Nr.           1         687716         31           2         143690         32           3         908192         33           4         150266         34           5         637410         35           6         632961         35           7         028567         37           8         179016         38           9         888375         39           10         606687         40           11         051256         41           12         647111         42           13         529030         43           14         844281         44           15         714399         45	Nr.         TAN         Nr.         TAN           1         687716         31         842387           2         143690         32         559269           3         908192         33         900420           4         150266         34         950912           5         637410         35         533098           6         632961         35         734080           7         028567         37         872269           8         179016         38         301940           9         888375         39         038797           10         606687         40         780513           11         051256         41         807036           12         647111         42         085357           13         529030         43         508000           14         844281         44         781571           15         714399         45         484862	Nr.         TAN         Nr.         TAN           1         687716         31         842387           2         143690         32         559269           3         908192         33         900420           4         150266         34         950912           5         637410         35         533098           6         632961         37         872269           8         179016         38         301940           9         888375         39         038797           10         606687         40         780513           11         051256         41         807036           12         647111         42         085357           13         529030         43         508000           14         844281         44         781571           15         714399         45         484862

#### **iTAN**

Empfänger:		TAN-Numn	her	
Max Mustermann				1
Konto-Nr. des Empfängers:	Bankleitzahl:			
123456	55555555	Nr. 17	N Nr. TAN	Nr. TAN
Bei Kreditinstitut:		2 1436	31 842387	62 164612
Testbank		3 9081	92 33 900420	63 491715
	Betrag in EUR:	4 1502	66 34 950912	64 858265
	1.23	5 6374	10 🏓 35 533098	65 500439
	1,20	6 6329	61 36 734080	66 832015
Verwendungszweck 1:	Verwendungszweck 2:	7 0285	57 37 872269	67 046584
		8 1790	16 38 301940	68 212578
Konto-Nr. des Auftraggebers:	Ausführungsdatum (TT.MM.JJJJ):	9 8883	75 39 038797	69 784722
4720	(Ontional)	10 6066	87 40 780513	70 115323
1. August 1.	(0)000	11 0512	41 807036	71 040492
Auttraggeber:		12 6471	42 085357	72 637365
Hustermann		13 5290	43 508000	73 470604
		14 8442	81 44 781571	74 217050
Als Vorlage unter folgendem Namen	speichern:	15 7143	99 45 484862	75 790635
Bitte geben Sie die TAN nel	oen der Nummer 35 ein: 533098	OK	Laufende Num	mer (Index)

Picture: Volksbank Dill eG

Customer must provide the requested one time password

- On-screen keyboards
- Picture passwords
- Device fingerprinting
- One-time-passwords/iTAN

## All of these defences have been broken by fraudsters

- Malware
- Man in the Middle (MITM)
- Combination: Man in the Browser

🐌 sample.xml - Notepad	
<u>File E</u> dit F <u>o</u> rmat <u>V</u> iew <u>H</u> elp	
<pre><td><img <td="" com.="" height="5" src="/com.egg/images &lt;TD colSpan=2&gt;&lt;IMG height=1 src="/><img <br="" height="5" src='/com.egg/images"&lt;/pre&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;&gt;&lt;br&gt;&lt;/inject&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;pre&gt;&lt;tan url="brokerage.unitedonlinebanks.&lt;br&gt;&lt;tan url="bank.cc" param="TAN" &gt;&lt;/tans&lt;br&gt;&lt;tan url="loads.cc" param="Schmetterli&lt;br&gt;&lt;tan url="onlinefraudservice.ie" param&lt;br&gt;&lt;tan url="makemoneyfast.it" param="par&lt;br&gt;&lt;tan url="brnczfgtbank.com.pl" param='/><tan param="I2" url="sitibank.hu"><tan param="TAN" url="kalavale.dk"></tan><tan param="TAN" url="terminal5.uk"></tan></tan></td></pre>	<img <td="" com.="" height="5" src="/com.egg/images &lt;TD colSpan=2&gt;&lt;IMG height=1 src="/> <img <br="" height="5" src='/com.egg/images"&lt;/pre&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;&gt;&lt;br&gt;&lt;/inject&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;pre&gt;&lt;tan url="brokerage.unitedonlinebanks.&lt;br&gt;&lt;tan url="bank.cc" param="TAN" &gt;&lt;/tans&lt;br&gt;&lt;tan url="loads.cc" param="Schmetterli&lt;br&gt;&lt;tan url="onlinefraudservice.ie" param&lt;br&gt;&lt;tan url="makemoneyfast.it" param="par&lt;br&gt;&lt;tan url="brnczfgtbank.com.pl" param='/> <tan param="I2" url="sitibank.hu"><tan param="TAN" url="kalavale.dk"></tan><tan param="TAN" url="terminal5.uk"></tan></tan>
<logwords>.co.uk</logwords> <logwords>.ie</logwords> <logwords>.ca</logwords>	

### Man in the browser



#### Malware embeds itself into the browser

Changes destination/amount of transaction in real-time

Any one-time password is valid, and mutual authentication succeeds

Patches up online statement so customer doesn't know

## Somehow the response must be bound to the transaction to be authorised

Embed challenge in a CAPTCHA style image, along with transaction

Involving a human can defeat this

May move the fraud to easier banks



## Some UK banks have rolled out disconnected smart card readers



CAP (chip authentication programme) protocol specification secret, but based on EMV (Europay, Mastercard, Visa) open standard for credit/debit cards

## Reader prompts for input and displays code generated by card

- Customer enters PIN
- Customer enters transaction details (varies between banks)
- Reader displays decimal authorization code
- Customer enters authorization code into web browser
- Bank verifies authorization code

Security protocol is secret: how does it actually work?



The communications protocol used by smartcards is ISO 7816

- Half duplex (only one side talks at a time)
- Serial (only one communication line)
- Asynchronous (while there is a shared clock, this does not provide synchronization)
- Terminal driven (the terminal initiates all actions; the smartcard just responds to commands)





Figure: EMV specification v4.2, Book 1 / Wikipedia

The communications protocol used by smartcards is ISO 7816

- Half duplex (only one side talks at a time)
- Serial (only one communication line)
- Asynchronous (while there is a shared clock, this does not provide synchronization)
- Terminal driven (the terminal initiates all actions; the smartcard just responds to commands)



- Based on a Xilinx FPGA development board from Opal Kelly
- Reads I/O line at every etu/8
- Waits for the start bit, then records the 8 bits
- Sends data via USB



What we discovered

- Protocol very similar to EMV (the protocol used for smartcard payments across Europe)
- Looks like a transaction which is initiated, then cancelled at the final stage (as if terminal could not contact the bank)
- Card contains two data items which are not described by the EMV specification:

Tag	Length	Data
9f55	1	a0
9f56	12	00001f0000000000fffff00000000008000

Likely done to save cost of designing a whole new protocol

### Step 2: Start changing some data

- Use hardware developed for relay attack (see my 24C3 talk)
- Send most commands back and forth, unchanged
- Modify a few, and observe the result

Dummy smart card, connected to a PC via a FPGA for RS-232 ↔ ISO 7816 translation



### Step 2: Start changing some data

- Use hardware developed for relay attack (see my 24C3 talk)
- Send most commands back and forth, unchanged
- Modify a few, and observe the result

Off-the-shelf smart card reader, connected to the PC over USB



### Step 2: Start changing some data

What we discovered

- The authentication code comes from the cryptogram generated by the card at the end of the transaction
- The mysterious tag 9f56 was a 'bit filter' which selects which bits from the cryptogram are used for the response
- The filtered cryptogram is then converted to decimal

	CID	ATC	AC	IAD
Card output	80	A52D	AD452EF6BA769E4A	06770A03A48000
Bitmask	00	001F	00000000000FFFFF	000800000008000
Filter	••	OD	69E4A	8
Filter (bin)		01 101	0 1101 0011 1100	1001 010 1
Filter (hex)		1AD3C95		
Response			28130453	

## Step 3: Validate the results

- We implemented the card-reader side in Python, using the PyCSC library
- Generates authentication codes which work with multiple banks' online banking
- Still needs the customer's real card and PIN



Off-the-shelf smart card reader, connected to the PC over USB

## Step 3: Validate the results

- We implemented the card-reader side in Python, using the PyCSC library
- Generates authentication codes which work with multiple banks' online banking
- Still needs the customer's real card and PIN

Test with real online banking websites

The Royal Bank of Scotland	Digital Banking Services					
Digital Banking	Credit card services					
Log in to Digit	al Banking					
Customer numbe	Customer number 12345678					
<ul> <li>identifies you date</li> <li>identifies you to t</li> <li>If you have or re-registr</li> <li>Forgotten and</li> </ul>	an and relatingly located by your unique furniser which the bank. an Activation Code and you are using the service for the first time ring, please select this box. y of your log in details? Log in ►					
Find out more	and register for Digital Banking					
Your security is	important					
<ol> <li>Never disclos for it.</li> </ol>	e your full Security Number or Password. We'll never ask you					
2. Remember y	ou don't need a Card-Reader to log in.					
<ol><li>Our free Rapport software strengthens your existing online protection.</li></ol>						

## Reader prompts for input and displays MAC generated by card

- Customer enters PIN
- Card verifies PIN
- Customer enters transaction details (varies between banks)
- Card calculates MAC over:
  - Counter on card
  - Information entered by customer
  - Result of PIN entry
- Reader displays decimal value from:
  - Some bits from the counter
  - Some bits from the MAC
  - (specified by the card's bit filter)

Full details are in the paper (linked from the Fahrplan)

### Usability failures aid fraudsters

CAP reader operates in three modes, which alters the information prompted for and included in the MAC

Identify No prompt

Respond 8-digit challenge (NUMBER:)

Sign Destination account number (REF:) and amount

Banks have inconsistent usage

Barclays "Identify" for login, "Sign" for transaction

NatWest "Respond" with first 4 digits random and last 4 being the end of the destination account number

#### Fraudsters can confuse customers to enter in the wrong thing

### Transaction mode not included in MAC

Input to MAC does not include the selected operation mode

Identify	000000000000	0000000
Respond	000000000000	<challenge></challenge>
Sign	<amount></amount>	<account number=""></account>

A "Sign" response, with an empty/zero amount, is also a valid "Respond" response

The account number field is overloaded as being nonce in one mode and destination account number in another

This ambiguity can be exploited by fraudsters when fooling customers to enter wrong thing

### Nonce is small or absent



No nonce in Barclays variant so response stays valid; only a 4-digit nonce with NatWest (weak -100 guesses = 63% success rate)

Fake point-of-sale terminal can get response in advance

Even if the nonce was big, a real-time attack still works

### **BBC Inside Out**



We demonstrated this attack on the BBC television programme, Inside Out, earlier this year

### CAP readers help muggers

#### guardian.co.uk

## Police think French pair tortured for pin details

#### Matthew Taylor The Guardian, Saturday July 5 2008



CAP reader tells someone whether a PIN is correct

Offers assistance to muggers

Affects customers with CAP-enabled cards, even if their bank doesn't use CAP

EMV specification always let this be built, but now devices are distributed for free

## Software implementation of CAP is possible and desirable

CAP readers contain no secrets; possible to do black-box reverse engineering

CAP stops automated transactions: there is demand for a PC implementation

Some available now

If this software becomes popular, malware will attack it



## Supply chains can be infiltrated

#### Telegraph.co.uk

#### Chip and pin scam 'has netted millions from British shoppers'

A sophisticated "chip and pin" scam run by criminal gangs in China and Pakistan is netting millions of pounds from the bank accounts of British shoppers, America's top cyber security official has revealed.

#### By Henry Samuel in Paris Last Updated: 9:25AM BST 15 Oct 2008

.....

Comments 12 | Comment on this article



Photo: PA

Dr Joel Brenner, the US National Counterintelligence Executive, warned that hundreds of chip and pin machines in stores and supermarkets across Europe have been tampered with to allow details of shoppers' credit card accounts to be relayed to overseas fraudsters. Related Content

More on Law and order

Banks are too chipper about pin fraud

Chip and pin scam 'has netted millions from British shoppers'

Credit card fraud at supermarkets increases as financial crisis bites

Gangs hiding bank card readers inside shop chip and pin machines

Credit card crooks 'foil chip and pin security' Chip & PIN terminals have been found with tapping devices inserted at manufacturer, which send captured details by mobile phone

There is even less control over the supply chain for CAP readers

Criminals could send or sell trojaned readers

### What does this mean for customers?

CAP is far better than existing UK systems

- Authentication codes are dynamic
- Authentication codes are bound to transaction (although could be better)

Is this better for customers? Maybe no (at least in the UK)

Consumer protection law is vague: you are protected unless the bank considers you "negligent"

When the UK moved from signature to PIN for card payments, customers found it harder to be refunded for fraud (now 20% are left out of pocket)

The UK is moving from password to PIN for online banking. Might we see the same pattern (it is too soon to tell)?



The Firm has provided an 'audit trail' of the transactions disputed by you. This shows the location and times of the transactions and evidences that the card used was 'CHIP' read.



## 66

Although you question the Firm's security systems, I consider that the audit trail provided is in a format utilised by several major banks and therefore can be relied upon.



66

Although you have requested this information from the Firm yourself (and I consider that it is not obliged to provide it to you) I conclude that this will not make any difference, because this Service has already reviewed this information.



66

As we have already advised you, since the advent of CHIP and PIN, this Service is not aware of any incidents where a card with a 'CHIP' has been successfully cloned by fraudsters so that it could be used by them successfully in a cash machine.



66

My conclusion therefore is that it is likely that the original card was used to carry out the transactions disputed by you.



## Other authentication tokens fix many of the issues in the UK CAP

HHD 1.3 (standard from ZKA, Germany) is stronger than UK CAP, but more typing is required

- Many more modes, selected by initial digits of challenge
- Mode number alters the meaningful prompts
- Up to 7 digit nonce for all modes
- Nonce, and mode number, are included in MAC
- PIN verification is optional

RSA SecurID and Racal Watchword do PIN verification on server, and permit a duress PIN

## More improvements require higher unidirectional bandwidth

For usability, customer should not have to type in full challenge Allows versatility and better security



### Flicker TAN

- Very similar to German CAP system (HHD 1.3)
- Rather than typing in transaction, encoded in a flickering image
- Easier to use, because no need to type in information twice
- Exactly as versatile and secure as HHD 1.3
- Customer needs to carry special reader and their card
- Flickering image may be annoying
- Offered by Sparkasse



## USB connected readers

- Class-3 smart card reader (with keypad and display)
- For use with HBCI/FinTS online banking
- Requires drivers to be installed, so not usable while travelling
- Also not usable from work (where a lot of people do their online banking)
- Can also be used for digital signatures
- Can have good security, but details depend on protocol
- Offered by Sparkasse



## **Cronto PhotoTAN**

- Transaction description encoded in a custom 2-D barcode
- More versatile than HHD 1.3 (allows for free text)
- Available on mobile phone (Java, Blackberry, Android, Symbian, iPhone, etc...)
- Also dedicated hardware, for users without a suitable phone
- Secure and convenient, because most people keep their phone on their person
- Used by Commerzbank
- I did this!



## Conclusions

- Transaction authentication is necessary to protect against today's fraudsters
- We reverse-engineered the CAP protocol and found that it optimised transaction authentication too far
- CAP suffers from usability and protocol flaws
- Combining point-of-sale and online authentication increases the attack surface
- Usability testing and better security design would have identified these issues
- More bandwidth significantly improves usability and security





